

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,451	03/25/2004		Koji Kuruma	Q80496	4848
23373	7590	09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	•	PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	STEELE, AMBER D		
SUITE 800	SILVAN	IA A VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING?	TON, DC	20037	1639		
		•		DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/808,451	KURUMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Amber D. Steele	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	eived in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure		aivad					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	scorine certilied copies not rec	civcu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-17 are currently pending and under consideration.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 2, drawn to an apparatus or "unit" comprising an adsorptive substrate with covalently bound functional groups, perforated plate with through-holes wherein the perforated plate is closely contacted with one surface of the adsorptive substrate, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1+.
 - II. Claims 3-8, drawn to an apparatus or "unit" comprising a substrate with holes with adsorptive areas, a specific binding substance bound to the adsorptive areas, and a substance from a living organism labeled with a labeling substance which is bound to the binding substance, classified in class 436, subclass 518+.
 - III. Claims 10-11, drawn to a methods for biochemical analysis, classified in class436, subclass 501+.
 - IV. Claims 12 and 14, drawn to a method for producing a unit via closely contacting a material having a covalently binding functional group with the substrate, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - V. Claim 13, drawn to a method for manufacturing a unit via introducing a covalently binding functional group into the adsorptive material closely contacted with a substrate, classified in class 435, subclass DIG 46+.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

VI. Claims 15-17, drawn to a method for immobilizing a specific binding substance, classified in class 435, subclass 4+.

Linking Claim

- 3. Claims 1 and 9 link the inventions of Groups I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim (e.g. claims1 and 9). Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F. 2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A. Inventions I and II are directed to related apparatuses. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451

Art Unit: 1639

reactions control (value of 10,000)

different design. For example, Group I requires a perforated plate with through-holes which is not required by Group II and Group II requires a labeled substance from a living organism which Group I does not require. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Page 4

- B. Inventions III-VI are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design. For example, the process of Group III requires a labeling substance which is not required by any other group, the process of Group IV requires closely contacting a material having a covalently binding functional group with a substrate which is not required by any other group, the process of Group V requires introducing a covalently binding functional group into the adsorptive material closely contacted with a substrate which is not required by any other group, and Group VI requires a an activating agent which is not required by any other group. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- C. Inventions III and VI (processes) and I-II (apparatuses) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451

Art Unit: 1639

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the processes as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus (e.g. apparatus with channels; apparatus with flat surface, etc.).

Page 5

D. Inventions IV-V (processes) and I-II (apparatuses) are related as process of making and apparatus made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different apparatus or (2) that the apparatus as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different apparatus (e.g. apparatus with channels; apparatus with flat surface, etc.).

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and
- a. have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification (e.g. class and/or subclass; please refer to section 2 above), and/or
 - b. require a different field of search, and/or
- c. have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. (See MPEP § 808.02).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451

Art Unit: 1639

7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 6

- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable

Art Unit: 1639

product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all the criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to a rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Future Communications

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amber D. Steele whose telephone number is 571-272-5538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00AM-5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,451 Page 8

Art Unit: 1639

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ADS September 18, 2006

> My-Chau Tran Patent Examiner AU1639